



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,259	09/22/2006	Xiaopin Duan	56815.09/00	1294
30734 7590 07/23/2009 BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP WASHINGTON SQUARE, SUITE 1100 1050 CONNECTICUT AVE. N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036-5304				
EXAMINER				
CONTEE, JOY KIMBERLY				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2617				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/23/2009		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@bakerlaw.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/563,259

Applicant(s)

DUAN ET AL.

Examiner

JOY K. CONTEE

Art Unit

2617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 April 2009.
2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-16 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☒ Claim(s) 15 and 16 is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 6-14 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-8508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,2,6 and 7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1,2,4,6,7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ewert et al. (Ewert), US 7054,620, previously used in view of Loushine, US 2005/0232189, recently discovered.

Regarding claims 1,8 and 13, Ewert discloses a method (and a system for processing location service and a Gateway Mobile location center) for processing a location information request in a location service, comprising: A. a location information request initiator sending a location information request containing a processing indication to a location information request receiver; and B. after receiving the location information request, the location information request receiver determining whether to perform [synchronous processing or] asynchronous processing for the location information request according to type of the processing indication, and then implementing corresponding processing for the location information request (reads on

monitoring for trigger location change event and determining the location of the user and providing deferred location information) (see col. 2, lines 29-39).

Ewert fails to explicitly disclose a second Gateway. However in a similar field of endeavor, Loushine discloses a second Gateway (see Figs. 4, 5 and pages 5-6 [0052-0053, 0054-0056 and 0059]).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to modify Ewert to include a second gateway mobile location center for determining location of a mobile based on integrated networks.

Regarding claim 2, Ewert and Loushine discloses the method according to claim 1, wherein, under the condition that the location information request receiver, in step B, determines to perform synchronous processing for the location information request according to type of the processing indication, the step of implementing corresponding processing for the location information request in step B comprises: after a LCS system locates the target UE, the location information request receiver sending a location information response containing locating result of the target UE to the location information request initiator (see Loushine, Figs. 4, 5 and pages 5-6 [0052-0053, 0054-0056 and 0059]).

Regarding claims 4 and 11 and 13, Ewert and Loushine discloses the method according to claim 1, wherein the type of the processing indication is determined according to type of a processing indication contained in a location information request received by the location information request initiator, or according to a parameter of quality of service contained in a location information request received by the location

information request initiator, or according to address type of the location information request receiver, or according to any combinations of the above manners(see Loushine, Figs. 4,5 and pages 5-6 [0052-0053,0054-0056 and 0059]).

Regarding claims 6 and 10, Ewert and Loushine discloses the method according to claim 1, wherein the location information request initiator is an R-GMLC, while the location information request receiver is an H-GMLC (see Loushine, Figs. 4,5 and pages 5-6 [0052-0053,0054-0056 and 0059]).

Regarding claims 7 and 9 and 12 and 14, Ewert and Loushine discloses the method according to claim 1, wherein the location information request initiator is an H-GMLC, while the location information request receiver is a V-GMLC (see Loushine, Figs. 4,5 and pages 5-6 [0052-0053,0054-0056 and 0059]).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 15 and 16 are allowed.
5. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOY K. CONTEE whose telephone number is (571)272-

7906. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 5:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Appiah can be reached on 571.272.7904. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JC

/Joy K Contee/
Patent Examiner (PSA), Art Unit 2617